

### The Nagoya Protocol and mBRCs: towards a MIRRI Best Practice for Access and Benefit Sharing (ABS)

Gerard J. M. Verkley<sup>1</sup>, Dunja Martin<sup>2</sup> and David Smith<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>CBS Fungal Biodiversity Centre, Utrecht, the Netherlands <sup>2</sup>Leibniz-Institut DSMZ, Braunschweig, Germany <sup>3</sup>CABI Biosciences, Egham, UK







ECCO 34, Session 2 "BRCs and Regulations", Paris, May 28, 2015





#### Convention on Biological Diversity Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD)

Entered into force on 29 December 1993 – State having signed up is called "Party" Three objectives:

- conservation of biological diversity
- sustainable use of its components
- fair and equitable sharing of benefits arising from the utilisation of genetic resources

Key principles:

- States have **sovereign rights** over biological resources within their borders and shall **ensure conservation** of same (Art. 3);
- States shall endeavor to create conditions to facilitate access on mutually agreed terms and subject to prior informed consent (Art. 15(2));
- There should be **fair and equitable sharing of benefits** of use of genetic resources with the providing party (Art. 15(7));
- Any wider application of **traditional knowledge** (TK) shall be with the approval and involvement of TK holders (Art. 8(j)).11











### The Nagoya Protocol on Access and Benefit Sharing (ABS)

The legally binding instrument to implement the CBD

- Adopted by the 10th Conference of the Parties (COP10), on 29 October 2010, in Nagoya, Japan
- Entered into force 12 Oct 2014 (when 50 Parties had ratified it)

Access provided to genetic resources is subject to **Prior Informed Consent (PIC)** of the Country of Origin... = a permit stating *what* the applicant may collect *when* and *where*, issued by a competent national authority (CNA)

...and under Mutually Agreed Terms (MAT) by provider and user

= agreement that defines how you may use the collected material

Parties to the Protocol can choose to provide free access to the natural resources in their own territory  $\rightarrow$  in that case, no PIC required

Parties are committed to enforcing within their own jurisdiction compliant use of resources originating from other Parties and sharing of benefits.





# Regulation 511/2014 for ABS in the European Union



- The European Union is a Party to the CBD, as are the 28 Member States
- Regulation 511 **applies from 12 Oct 2014** (date of entry into force of the Nagoya Protocol)
- Regulation articles 4 (user obligations), 7 (monitoring user compliance) and 9 (checks on user compliance) are applicable from 12 Oct 2015
- The Implementing Acts are in an advanced stage but not finalised yet
- Most EU Member States will grant free access to their genetic resources (perhaps not Croatia, Hungary, France, Norway and Spain) – harmonized EU access measures therefore not needed



### **Temporal scope of relevant laws and regulations on ABS**





applies to resources and traditional knowledge to which ABS legislation or regulatory requirements of a Party to the Nagoya Protocol are applicable



# **Utilisation of genetic resources** – to conduct <u>research and development</u> on the genetic and/or biochemical composition of genetic resources, including through the application of biotechnology (definition in Article 2 of the CBD)

**Genetic resources** – genetic material of actual or potential value (definition from NP)

Genetic material – any material of plant, animal, microbial or other origin containing functional units of heredity (from CBD) = <u>living and dead material,</u> <u>and DNA extracts!</u>





#### Materials within scope:

- Genetic resources accessed in a country that is Party to the Nagoya Protocol (i.e., by ratification)
  - access not defined (in text CBD, NP), BUT in EU Reg. Art. 3: "the acquisition of genetic resources or of traditional knowledge associated with genetic resources in a Party to the Nagoya Protocol"

#### Outside scope:

- Human genetic resources (CBD Decision X/1), <u>except the microbes isolated from human tissue</u>, <u>blood etc.</u>
- Commodities (trade food, e.g., fruits), except the microbes isolated therefrom
- Plant genetic resources governed by the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture (ITPGRFA)
- Any material collected from areas beyond national jurisdiction (ABNJ), e.g., the deep waters,
  Antarctica, etc. (no Party can exercise sovereign rights over such material)



### Activities within scope of the Nagoya Protocol and EU-regulation



"**Research and development** are cumulative requirements. This means that to be in the scope of 'utilisation', as defined in the ABS Regulation, <u>the activity has to include</u> <u>an element of development</u>.

Further interpretation of this term can be expected at the international level with the entry into force of the Nagoya Protocol and the beginning enforcement practice" (from EU Memo 14-411\_EN of June 10, 2014)

#### **Current understanding**

Descriptive research without any element of development is outside the scope For example: Morphological analysis

DNA-extraction and sequencing for purely taxonomic work, quality control and identification **should** be outside scope



### EU Regulation on ABS in the Union: Register of collections (art. 5)



### **Requirements for registered collections :**

- Apply <u>standardised procedures for exchanging</u> genetic resources with other collections and supplying to third persons
- Supply GR and related information to third persons <u>only with documentation</u> providing evidence that they were legally accessed and, where relevant, with Mutually Agreed Terms (MAT)
- <u>Keep records of all genetic resources and related information supplied to third</u> persons
- Establish and use <u>unique identifiers</u>, where possible, for samples of genetic resources supplied to third persons
- Use appropriate <u>tracking and monitoring tools</u> for inter-collection exchange

Competent National Authority will decide if a collections can be included in the Register

- > An entire collection <u>or part thereof</u> can be registered
- Users obtaining a genetic resource from a registered collection shall be considered to have exercised due diligence (Art. 4, par. 7)





EU Regulation Implementing acts (under development)



Lay down detailed rules for

• Register of collections for the EU



- **Due diligence declaration**\* to be made by users:
  - **recipients of funding for research** involving the utilisation of genetic resources and/or traditional knowledge
  - **users bringing a product to the EU market** developed involving the utilisation of genetic resources and/or traditional knowledge
- Associations of users requesting for recognition of **best practices** 
  - \* Only users who have not obtained genetic resources from registered collections, or do not have IRCC (or a standard MTA cf. ITPGRFA), should be required to provide detailed information (cf. Reg. art. 4(3)b) in their due diligence declaration.



### EU Regulation on ABS in the Union: Register of collections (art. 5)



### Implementing regulation laid down in art. 2-5 DRAFT

### Art. 4: Frequency and nature of checks

- Once every 3 years by competent authority
- Additional verification when reason for doubt of meeting criteria
- The (additional) verification shall include, as appropriate:
  - On-the-spot checks
  - Examination of documentation and records of the collection demonstrating compliance to the critera in art 5(3) of the Regulation
  - Genetic resource sample documentation
  - Interviews with the collection staff, "external verifiers" and (!) recipients of genetic resources
  - Access to genetic resources in the collection granted in line with mutually agreed terms

### Art 5: Remedial actions

- Revision of measures taken by the collection in order to comply, additional reporting
- The competent authority may also take immediate measures





Developed in response to Nagoya Protocol art. 20 and EU Regulation 511/2014 art. 8.

- Applies to all materials (including genetic resources) in the mBRC and in research collections falling under the same legal entity;
- Applies to all staff, authorized visitors and other associates working within or on behalf of the same legal entity as mBRC staff.

The package will include:

- (i) a **policy statement** on how MIRRI Member mBRCs commit themselves to contributing to reaching the main objectives of the CBD while operating in compliance with all applicable national and international laws on ABS and regulatory requirements;
- (ii) sets of **minimal requirements** MIRRI regards necessary for compliant operation of the member mBRCs;
- (iii) MIRRI Best Practice to provide guidance for the mBRCs in implementing their ABS institutional policies and working procedures for

(a) acquisition of material;

(b) supply of material (including exchange);

(c) delivery of other services;

(d) research by the mBRCs on their own holdings and lawful utilization the genetic resources







### Acquisition of materials

- For deposit into the public collection, the mBRC should implement accession forms and (optional) Material Accession Agreements (MAA) according to agreed minimal requirements.
- Due diligence in all cases and preferably at the moment of entry (at any entry point, be it mBRC or other department in the legal entity)
- Identify any requirements under applicable ABS legislation and regulations, for which this info is needed:
  - ✓ Geographic origin (country of origin, or ABNJ), or reasonable explanation why this is indeterminable (e.g., old lab-strain)
  - ✓ Date of collection (*in situ*, in the habitat)
  - ✓ Person who collected the source sample, and his/her affiliation (institute, company, etc.)And if such is required:
  - ✓ IRCC number (if available), PIC, or other collecting permit, and MAT, and any relevant MTA(s), if applicable
- If the information provided remains insufficient to exercise due diligence, the material should not be accepted for deposit and not be retained by the legal entity.





#### Acquisition of materials

### If available, the IRCC should be checked on its content in the ABS Clearing House

https://absch.cbd.int/	
🥰 The Convention Cartagena Protocol Nagoya Protocol Programmes Information Secretariat	ပ် SIGN IN en
<b>ABSCH</b> THE ACCESS AND BENEFIT-SHARING CLEARING-HOUSE	Convention on Biological Diversity
A Q Search 🕼 Submit 🚱 Country Information	🗪 IAC Forum 🛛 🥹 Help
CBD > ABSCH	
The Access and Benefit-sharing Clearing-house (ABSCH) is a platform for exchanging information on ABS and a key tool for facilitating the implementation of the Nagoya Protocol. read more	

### About the Nagoya Protocol

The Nagoya Protocol on Access to Genetic Resources and the Fair and Equitable Sharing of Benefits Arising from their Utilization to the Convention on Biological Diversity is an international agreement which aims at sharing the benefits arising from the utilization of genetic resources in a fair and equitable way, including by appropriate access to genetic resources and by appropriate transfer of relevant technologies, taking into account all rights over those resources and to technologies, and by appropriate funding, thereby contributing to the conservation of biological diversity and the sustainable use of its components. read more at: www.cbd.int/abs

7 months

SINCE THE ENTRY INTO FORCE OF THE NAGOYA PROTOCOL





### **Accession form**

- set of standard questions and/or (optional) terms so the depositor will understand what can or cannot be done with the material once accessioned into the public collection.
- The form should at least be signed by the depositor.
- Incompatibilities between the depositor's proposed terms and those in standard MTA of the mBRC should be resolved, or a special MTA for supply set up.

Material Accession Agreement (MAA) could also be used, if appropriate.

→ National ABS law in certain Parties to the CBD may demand that a model MTA of the competent authorities (in the country of origin) is signed between the authority or the depositor and the receiving mBRC.





### Exchange between mBRCs

- MTA for a specific exchange may or may not be required (depending on national legislation)
- Transfer in agreement with terms under which the material was acquired by the supplying mBRC
- Distribution by the receiving mBRC is under MTA conditions equivalent and compatible to those in place at the supplying mBRC
- The exchanging mBRCs inform each other about all relevant ABS documentation associated with the exchanged material and properly file these in their records

Simplified mechanisms: checking compatibility of the mBRCs standard MTA for supply, and resolve any issues.

- MIRRI-mBRCs should use highly compatible MTA for distributing to third parties
  → compliant also under the mBRCs own "standard" MTA
- Exchange with non-European mBRCs may become more complicated than before





### Supply of material from the public collection

- No supply without MTA
- Minimal requirements agreed among the MIRRI partners incorporated in MTA.
- Terms in agreement with those under which the material was received by the mBRC
- If required by applicable ABS law or regulatory requirements, copies (electronic or paper) of the relevant documents are supplied to the recipient:
  - Internationally-recognized Certificate of Compliance (IRCC) and information on the content of mutually agreed terms (Reg. art. 4 (3) a) *or*, if no IRCC is available,
  - ➢ Original PIC and MAT, or
  - Any other legally valid documentation, providing proof that the material was legally accessed in the country of origin
  - ....and as far as the information is not confidential





#### MTA for supply of material by the mBRC to customers

#### Generally: third party transfer not allowed.

#### However,

- (i) the recipient can share the material with colleagues (employed by the same legal entity) under the condition that the MTA and annexes should always be transferred along with the material;
- (ii) the recipient can also share the material with scientists working in the same consortium, where in a consortium agreement the control of access to material is clearly defined to meet the terms in applicable MTAs;
- (iii) in situations where scientists employed by different legal entities (for example, a research institute or a university) work in permanently shared laboratory facilities and collaborate, it is allowed to make an arrangement that these scientists can also share material.
- "Legitimate exchange" will only include the above and exchange between mBRCs. In the ECCO-Core MTA the term also includes exchange between researchers collaborating in "a joint defined project", but MIRRI advices against such practice.

#### Options:

- A core MTA could be designed for supply by all MIRRI mBRCs.
- A set of agreed principles, with exemplar clauses that can be modified to preferred wording by the mBRCs (as long as the content is in line with the principles)





#### Material collected post-CBD and pre-Nagoya

- Is not subject to the Regulation 511/2014
- Could be subject to ABS national legislation in country of origin (based on CBD) that was in force at time of collecting
- If so, and permits or other documents required are actually missing, distribution of that material by the BRC may harm its reputation, especially when supplied from a registered collection
- Practical solution could be to (continue to) only distribute the material under condition as worded in art. 7 of the ECCO Core MTA\*: "If the RECIPIENT desires to use the MATERIAL or MODIFICATIONS for COMMERCIAL PURPOSE(S), it is the responsibility of the RECIPIENT, in advance of such use, to negotiate in good faith the terms of any benefit sharing with the appropriate authority in the country of origin of the MATERIAL, as indicated by the COLLEGTSON'S TOOCOMERCIATIONS.", Fritze D, Stalpers J, Smith D, Bimet F, Desmeth P (2009). The ECCO core Material Transfer Agreement for the supply of samples of biological material from the public collection. The MTA text is available here: http://www.eccosite.org/





#### Research by the mBRC staff or others in the same legal entity

The mBRC should keep record of all supplies to "internal users" and inform these recipients about the conditions for use of the material and all obligations per MTA.

The mBRC or its institute should implement an ABS compliance policy for collecting and research, clarifying:

- conditions and terms for utilization of genetic resources in research by staff, visiting scientists and other authorized visitors for their research;
- ✓ how inappropriate utilization is handled

Individual mBRCs are responsible, MIRRI can advise.

Staff wanting to utilize ("research and development") a genetic resource for which PIC and MAT are not available but ABS legislation or regulatory requirements (likely) apply, should first contact the relevant authorities in the country of origin of the genetic resource and/or other appropriate stakeholders to obtain PIC and negotiate MAT.

Unexpected opportunities for commercialization: If the mBRC wishes to explore further, it should immediately inform the country of origin of the genetic resource and other rightful stakeholders to negotiate the terms for benefit sharing, at least if such is required per terms under which the resource was acquired by the mBRC.





#### **Benefit sharing**

- mBRCs invest in the safe-keeping of genetic resources
- mBRCs add value to the resources through research and release of associated data in databases
- mBRCs provide support to initiatives for the establishment of new *ex situ* collections in developing countries through collaborative research programs, training and other means of sharing expertise.
- Supply of strains by publicly funded mBRCs against payment is not a commercial activity

mBRCs can include in their MTA for supply the condition to be informed when a recipient is going to commercialize the supplied genetic resource, so that the mBRC, if interested, can join in the negotiations on sharing benefits with other stakeholders entitled, e.g., the country of origin.





# Conclusions

- The information provided here is based on current understanding of the ABS legislation.
- Definitions of some terms and how some provisions should be interpreted are at present not fully clear to the user community
- Before collecting, or at moment of acceptance of material for research or as a new deposit for the public collection, is <u>the right time to check</u> any obligations under applicable ABS legislations or regulation for legal security
- Research Institutes and Collections are in a vulnerable position (many publications, public collection), and all staff should be aware of their responsibility to protect reputation
- Therefore it is recommended to develop an ABS policy for the institute





# Conclusions

- The Microbial Resource Research Infrastructure (MIRRI) collection network and other stakeholders continue discussions with the legislators and will propose best practices for implementing ABS in a feasible way.
- International harmonization of legal governance and best practices is essential
- MIRRI international workshop on ABS in the microbial domain 15 September 2015 in Amsterdam – global focus, planned sessions:
  - EU Regulation 511/2014 and national ABS implementation
  - Round Table Discussion: the EU Register of Collections
  - ABS Best Practices and Codes of Conduct for microbial BRCs
  - Database-systems to support ABS implementation





# Thank you for your attention !





**CBS-KNAW Fungal Biodiversity Centre**